

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 12/19/2002

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/939,225	08/24/2001	Paul J. Angelico	14859-022	4085
30623 75	90 12/19/2002			
MINTZ, LEV	IN, COHN, FERRIS, C	EXAMINER		
AND POPEO,	P.C.	TOOMER, CEPHIA D		
ONE FINANCI				
BOSTON, MA	02111		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1714	24

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Applicant(s) Application No. ANGELICO ET AL 09/939,225 Office Action Summary **Art Unit** Examiner 1714 Cephia D. Toomer -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --**Period for Reply** A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM

THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed
- after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.

 If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

- Anvr	Unre to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, y reply received by the Office later than three months after ned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	by sta he m	atute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). ailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any				
Status	ned patent term adjustment. Good or Gritt III o (G).						
1) 🔲	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on .	·				
2a) 🗌	, This action to the term		This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	ition of Claims						
	P) Claim(s) <u>1-33</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) 24-33 is/are v	/itho	drawn from consideration.				
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)	6) Claim(s) <u>1-17 and 21-23</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) <u>18-20</u> is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicat	ation Papers						
] The specification is objected to by the E						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
	If approved, corrected drawings are requi						
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to b	/ the	e Examiner.				
	/ under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	r fo	reign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)	a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority do						
			nents have been received in Application No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
			nestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).				
15) <u></u>	a) ∐ The translation of the foreign lang]Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	dor	e provisional application has been received. mestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.				
Attachme	ent(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							

3) \boxtimes Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) $\underline{\textbf{2}}$.

6) Other:

Art Unit: 1714

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims, drawn to 1-23, classified in class 44, subclass 307.
 - II. Claims, drawn to 24-33, classified in class 705, subclass 500.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Group I is a method of generating energy and does not require that the byproduct be sold to an energy producer, as required in Group II.

- 2. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- During a telephone conversation with Robert Sayre on December 3, 2002 a provisional election was made without traverse to prosecute the invention of Group I, claims 1-23. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 24-33 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.
- 4. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

applicant regards as the invention.

Art Unit: 1714

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

6. Claims 1-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which

In claims 1 and 13, the terms "high-grade" are indefinite. The term "high" is a relative term that does not have a comparative value.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 15-17, and 21-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Walsh (4,342,656).

Walsh teaches a process for disposal of aqueous lipoidal wastes (see abstract). The lipoidal waste is obtained from processes wherein fats and vegetables oils are refined (see col. 1, lines 10-15). The waste comprises esters (oils and fats) and fatty acids (see col. 2, lines 18-24). The waste oil is combined with No. 6 fuel oil and combusted in a boiler (see col. 2, lines 25-26, 44-49; Example).

Walsh teaches the limitations of the claims other than the claimed amounts of fatty acid and unhydrolyzed fat/oil. However, it would be reasonable to expect that the

Art Unit: 1714

lipoidal waste of Walsh would contain the claimed amounts because Walsh teaches that the waste contains fatty esters and acids and that the waste is obtained from the process wherein fats and vegetable oils are refined.

9. Claims 18-20 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Walsh fails to teach that the substitution of the natural oil byproduct for the fuel oil reduces emitted pollutants concentrations to a regulatory level, nor does Walsh teach that the natural oil byproduct contains oxidized polymeric fatty materials.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cephia D. Toomer whose telephone number is 703-308-2509. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vasu Jagannathan can be reached on 703-306-2777. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Art Unit: 1714

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

Cephia D. Toomer Primary Examiner Art Unit 1714

09939225\4 December 13, 2002